

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Initially, Applicant would like to express his appreciation to the Examiner for the detailed Official Action provided. Applicant also notes with appreciation Examiner's acknowledgment of Applicant's Information Disclosures Statement filed on July 7 and November 15, 2004 by the return of the initialed and signed PTO-1449 forms, and the Examiner's acknowledgment of Applicant's claim for priority and receipt of the certified copies of the priority documents in the Official Action. However, Applicant notes that the PTO-1449 form submitted with the Second Supplemental Information Disclosure Statement filed on December 13, 2004 has not been initialed and returned by the Examiner. Applicant thus respectfully requests that the Examiner initial and return the PTO-1449 form submitted on December 13, 2004, in the next communication to Applicant. Applicant further gratefully acknowledges the Examiner's indication of the allowability of claims 15, 16, 24 and 25.

Upon entry of the present paper, claims 1-13 will have been canceled without prejudice or disclaimer, and claims 14 and 23 will have been amended to clarify the claim language therein, with claims 14-31 pending for consideration by the Examiner. Applicant notes that the listing of the amended reissue claims is in conformance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.173(2). Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration and withdrawal of the outstanding rejections of all the claims pending in the present application. Such action is respectfully requested and is now believed to be appropriate.

The Examiner has made a provisional statutory double patenting rejection of claims 1-13 in view of claims 1-13 of copending application 10/815,193. By the present amendment, claims

I25120.A09

1-13 have been canceled. Applicant thus respectfully requests that the Examiner withdraw this rejection.

With respect to the provisional non-statutory double-patenting rejection of claims 14-31 in view of claims 14-31 of copending application 10/815,194, as discussed *supra*, Applicant notes that submitting a terminal disclaimer concurrently herewith would be premature, since all the claims of either application have not yet been allowed. Once all the claims of both application have been indicated to be allowable, Applicant will then consider submitting such a terminal disclaimer.

Turning to the merits of the action, the Examiner has rejected claims 14, 17, 19, 22, 23, 26, 28 and 31 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 4,597,657 to WAKABAYASHI, finding that this reference teaches all limitations of these claims.

As discussed *supra*, Applicant respectfully traverses the Examiner's rejection. Specifically, and as noted *supra*, the two elements 114, 174 (as shown in, *e.g.*, Fig. 10) of WAKABAYASHI are located along *different* planes that are generally perpendicular to the optical axis, and are not located along a common plane that is generally perpendicular to the optical axis, as recited in claims 14 and 23. As discussed *supra*, solely in order to expedite the prosecution of the present application, Applicant has amended claims 14 and 23 to more clearly recite that the elements are along the same (or common) plane. It is therefore respectfully submitted that WAKABAYASHI, as well as the other references of record, fails to teach or suggest the invention of independent claims 14 and 23, as well as the claims dependent therefrom.

With respect to the Examiner's rejection of dependent claims 17, 19, 22, 26, 28 and 31 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b), since these claims are dependent from either allowable independent claims 14 or 23, which are allowable for at least the reasons discussed *supra*, these dependent claims are also allowable for at least these reasons. Further, all dependent claims recite additional features which further define the present invention over the references of record.

Absent a disclosure in a single reference of each and every element recited in a claim, a *prima facie* case of anticipation cannot be made under 35 U.S.C. § 102. Since the applied reference fails to disclose each and every element recited in independent claims 14 and 23, and the claims dependent therefrom, these claims are not anticipated thereby. Accordingly, the Examiner is respectfully requested to withdraw the rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b).

With respect to the Examiner's rejection of dependent claims 18, 20, 21, 27, 29 and 30 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a), since these claims are dependent from either allowable independent claims 14 or 23, which are allowable for at least the reasons discussed *supra*, these dependent claims are also allowable for at least these reasons. Further, all dependent claims recite additional features which further define the present invention over the references of record. For the record, Applicant traverses the Examiner's official notice regarding claims 18, 20, 27 and 29. Specifically, the Examiner's official notice and stated motivation to combine a CCD with the camera of WAKABAYASHI is entirely without support, and Applicant requests that the Examiner cite at least one reference in support of the Examiner's assertion, if the Examiner chooses to maintain the rejection. It is thus respectfully submitted that all rejected claims are patentably distinct from the references of record.

I25120.A09

Thus, Applicant respectfully submits that each and every pending claim of the present application meets the requirements for patentability under 35 U.S.C. §§ 102 and 103, and respectfully requests the Examiner to indicate the allowance of each and every pending claim in the present application.

COMMENTS ON STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR THE INDICATION OF ALLOWABLE  
SUBJECT MATTER

In response to the Statement of Reasons for the Indication of Allowable Subject Matter, mailed by the Patent and Trademark Office on December 28, 2004, along with the above-noted Office Action, Applicant wishes to clarify the record with respect to the basis for patentability of the allowed claims in the present application. In this regard, while Applicant does not disagree with the Examiner's indications that certain identified features are not disclosed by the prior art references, as noted by the Examiner, Applicant further wishes to clarify that each of the independent claims in the present application recites a particular combination of features, and the basis for patentability of each of these claims is further based on the particular totality of the features recited therein. The dependent claims set forth additional basis for their patentability in accordance with their recited limitations as well as in accordance with the particular limitations of the respective base claims.

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION


In view of the foregoing, it is submitted that the present paper is in proper form and that none of the references either taken together or taken alone in any proper combination thereof, anticipates or renders obvious Applicant's invention. Accordingly, consideration of the present Response, reconsideration of the outstanding Official Action and allowance of the present application and all of the claims therein are respectfully requested and are now believed to be appropriate.

With respect to Applicant's amendment of claims 14 and 23, Applicant submits that this Amendment has not been made for a purpose related to patentability, but rather, as discussed *supra*, is a clarifying amendment that is cosmetic in nature and that explicitly renders what was already implied by these claims (*i.e.*, that the elements are located along the same plane that is generally parallel to the optical axis. Thus, this amendment is not intended to narrow the scope of the claims, and should not be considered a decision by Applicant to narrow the claims in any way.

I25120.A09

Should the Examiner have any questions, the Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned at the below-listed telephone number.

Respectfully submitted,  
Tetsuji SHONO

 **William S. Boshnick**  
Reg. No. 44,550  
**Bruce H. Bernstein**  
Reg. No. 29,027

April 28, 2005  
GREENBLUM & BERNSTEIN, P.L.C.  
1950 Roland Clarke Place  
Reston, VA 20191  
(703) 716-1191